

PROPOSED CHANGES TO STANDARDS FOR GENERAL AMENDMENTS (Sec. 21A.50.050)

Current Criteria	Proposed Changed	Staff Reasoning	Task Force Remarks
<p>A. Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the adopted general plan of Salt Lake City;</p>	<p>(Text) Whether a proposed text amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives and policies of the City as stated through its various adopted planning documents.</p> <p>(Map) Whether a proposed map amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives and policies of the City as stated through its various adopted planning documents.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unlike some of the other criteria, this one applies well to both text and map amendments • The City does not have one general plan, like most jurisdictions do, but rather has community master plans. In addition to the master plans, the city has other planning documents that should be considered for consistency. 	<p>Support proposal</p>
<p>B. Whether the proposed amendment is harmonious with the overall character of existing development in the immediate vicinity of the subject property.</p>	<p>(Text) Whether a proposed text amendment furthers the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance;</p> <p>(Map) Whether a map amendment furthers the specific purpose statements of the zoning ordinance;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is a similar application of the current text but is written to make it more applicable to text amendments. • Map amendments should further the purpose statements of the zoning ordinance. 	<p>Support proposal</p>
<p>C. The extent to which the proposed amendment will adversely affect adjacent properties</p>	<p>(Text) Deleted;</p> <p>(Map) The extend to which the proposed amendment will adversely affect adjacent properties;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Text) This criterion is site-specific and applies more readily to map amendments than it does to text amendments. • Text amendments usually relate to progressive planning policies of clarification of an existing regulation. • (Map) Because the current criteria applied well to map amendments, no change to the current wording is proposed. 	<p>Text. Clarify what “best practices of urban planning and design” means. Ensure that it means current best professional practices.</p> <p>Map. Support proposal</p>
<p>D. Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may impose additional standards; and</p>	<p>(Text) No change</p> <p>(Map) No change</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Text amendments are seldom lot or parcel specific while map amendments are always parcel specific. • This criterion applies well to map amendments so no change to the current wording is proposed. 	<p>The “public interest” phrase is too vague. Do not include that language. Both Text and Map changes should be in keeping with Overlay District regulations. Retain the language relating to the Overlay Districts for both text and map amendments.</p>
<p>E. The adequacy of public facilities and services intended to serve the subject property, including but not limited to roadways, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection, schools, storm water drainage systems,</p>	<p>(Map) No change</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This criterion applies well to map amendment and has value so the current wording is being maintained. 	<p>Support proposal.</p>

water supplies, and wastewater and refuse collection.			
---	--	--	--